Title: SELECTIVE MEDIA STORAGE BASED ON USER PROFILES AND PREFERENCES

REMARKS

This responds to the Office Action mailed on <u>September 25, 2007</u>. Claims <u>1, 9, 14, 18,</u> 22-23 and 27 are amended. Claims <u>1-30</u> are pending in this application.

Claims Objections

Claim 22 was objected to due to informalities regarding incorrect dependency. Applicant has amended claim 22 to provide proper dependency. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the objection be withdrawn.

§102 Rejection of the Claims

Claims 1-2, 4-8, 14, 16-17, 23-24 and 26 were rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Sezan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,236,395). Applicant has amended claims 1, 14 and 23. Applicant respectfully submits that Sezan does not teach of the limitations of claims 1, 14 and 23.

In particular, among the differences, claim 1 recites "wherein the at least one sequence is part of and less than all of a program." Claims 14 and 23 include similar limitations. Applicant respectfully submits that the recited sections of Sezan do not disclose that storing a sequence that is less than all of a program. Rather, the recited sections of Sezan relate to selections of a given program (not just part of a program).

Because Sezan does not disclose each element of claims 1, 14 and 23, Applicant respectfully submits that the rejection of claims 1, 14 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. §102 has been overcome. Because the claims that depend from claims 1, 14 and 23 depends from and further defines claims 1, 14 and 23, Applicant respectfully submits that the rejection of the dependent claims under 35 U.S.C. §102 has been overcome.

Title: SELECTIVE MEDIA STORAGE BASED ON USER PROFILES AND PREFERENCES

§103 Rejection of the Claims

Claims 3, 15 and 25 were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sezan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,236,395) in view of Begeja et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2004/0025180A1). Because claims 3, 15 and 25 depend from and further define claims 1, 14 and 23, Applicant respectfully submits that the rejection of claims 3, 15 and 25 has been overcome.

Claims 9-13, 18-22 and 27-30 were rejected under 35 UCS § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sezan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,236,395) in view of Gutta et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0163816A1). Applicant has amended claims 9, 18 and 27. Applicant respectfully submits that the cited references, either alone or in combination, do not teach of the limitations of claims 9, 18 and 27.

In particular, among the differences, claim 9 recites "wherein a number of the frames stored is part of and less than all of a program." Claims 18 and 27 include similar limitations. Applicant respectfully submits that the recited sections of cited references do not disclose that the number of frames that are stored are less than all of a program. Rather, the recited sections of cited references relate to selections of a given program (not just part of a program).

Because cited references, either alone or in combination, do not disclose each element of claims 9, 18 and 27, Applicant respectfully submits that the rejection of claims 9, 18 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. §103 has been overcome. Because the claims that depend from claims 9, 18 and 27 depend from and further define claims 9, 18 and 27, Applicant respectfully submits that the rejection of the dependent claims under 35 U.S.C. §103 has been overcome.

Page 10 Dkt: 884.B73US1

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and notification to that effect is earnestly requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicant's attorney at (612) 371-2103 to facilitate prosecution of this application.

If necessary, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

Respectfully submitted,

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. Box 2938

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

(612) 371-2103

Date 3-25-18

By Gregg A. Peacock

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being filed using the USPTO's electronic filing system EFS-Web, and is addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexendria, VA 22313-1450 on this 25th day of March 2008.

Amy moriarty

Signature

Name